

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/042,605	NICPONSKI, HENRY
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	DANIEL G. MARIAM	2625

**All Participants:**

(1) DANIEL G. MARIAM.

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) ROBERT LUKE WALKER.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 November 2005

**Time:** 09:30 AM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*26 and 32*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed issues pertinent to independent claims 26 and 32. Applicant's Attorney (Robert Walker) agreed to amend the claims so as to more narrowly define the claims.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**DANIEL MARIAM**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)